

**FY2012 House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittee Proposals for FY2012 HUD Budget v. FY2011 Actual**

Selected Programs (set asides indented) - \$ in millions

Setaside	FY2010	FY2011 Enacted (after 0.2% cut)	FY2012 House Subctte 9/7/2011	FY2012 Senate Subctte 9/20/2011	House Subctte v.2011		Senate Subctte v.2011		Senate Subctte vs. House Subctte	FY2012 House Subctte Comment
					\$ Change	% Change	\$ Change	% Change		
<b>Tenant Based Rental Assistance</b>	<b>18,184</b>	<b>18,370.9</b>	<b>18,467.9</b>	<b>18,872.4</b>	<b>97.0</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>501.5</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>404.5</b>	
<i>Contract Renewals</i>	16,339	16,669.3	17,043.8	17,143.9	374.6	2.2%	474.6	2.8%	100.1	
<i>Tenant Protection Vouchers</i>	120	110	75	75	(34.8)	-31.7%	(34.8)	-31.7%	-	
<i>Administrative Fees</i>	1,575	1,447	1,100	1,400	(347.1)	-24.0%	(47.1)	-3.3%	300.0	
<i>Family Self Sufficiency Coordinators</i>	60	59.9	60	60	0.1	0.2%	0.1	0.2%	-	
<i>Family Unification Vouchers</i>	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>VASH</i>	75	50	75	75	25.1	50.3%	25.1	50.3%	-	
<i>Renewal S811 vouchers</i>	-	35	114.0	113.5	79.1	226.5%	78.6	224.9%	(0.5)	
<i>Homeless Demonstration vouchers</i>	-	-	-	5	-	-	5.0	new	5.0	
<i>Adjustment funding</i>	[150]		[135]							
<b>Project Based Rental Assistance</b>	<b>8,552</b>	<b>9,257.4</b>	<b>9,428.7</b>	<b>9,418.7</b>	<b>171.2</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>161.3</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>(10.0)</b>	<i>See note 1</i>
<b>Public Housing Capital Fund</b>	<b>2,500</b>	<b>2,040.1</b>	<b>1,532.1</b>	<b>1,875.0</b>	<b>(508.0)</b>	<b>-24.9%</b>	<b>(165.1)</b>	<b>-8.1%</b>	<b>342.9</b>	
<i>Emergency/Disaster Grants</i>	20	20.0	-	-	(20.0)	-100.0%	(20.0)	-100.0%	-	
<i>Resident Opportun. &amp; Supportv Svcs</i>	50	49.9	-	50	(49.9)	-100.0%	0.1	0.2%	50.0	
<b>Public Housing Operating Fund</b>	<b>4,775</b>	<b>4,617</b>	<b>3,861.9</b>	<b>3,961.5</b>	<b>(754.9)</b>	<b>-16.4%</b>	<b>(655.2)</b>	<b>-14.2%</b>	<b>99.7</b>	<i>See note 2</i>
<b>HOPE VI/Choice Neighborhoods</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>(99.8)</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>	<b>20.2</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>120.0</b>	
<i>HOPE VI</i>	135	34.93	-	-	(34.9)	-100.0%	(34.9)	-100.0%	-	
<i>Choice Nghds</i>	65	64.9	-	120	(64.9)	-100.0%	55.1	85.0%	120.0	
<b>Community Development Fund</b>	<b>4,450</b>	<b>3,501</b>	<b>3,501</b>	<b>3,001</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(499.7)</b>	<b>-14.3%</b>	<b>(499.7)</b>	
<i>CDBG Formula Grants</i>	3,990	3,336	3,466	2,851	130	3.9%	(485.3)	-14.5%	(615.0)	<i>See note 3</i>
<i>EDI Grants</i>	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Grants to Indian Tribes</i>	65	65	35	-	(30)	-46.0%	(64.9)	-100.0%	(35.0)	
<i>Neighborhood Initiatives</i>	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Sustainable Communities</i>	150	100	-	90	(100)	-100.0%	(9.8)	-9.8%	90.0	<i>See note 4</i>
<i>Rural Innovation</i>	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>University Community Fund</i>	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Housing Counseling Assistance</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>60.0</b>	<b>new</b>	<b>60.0</b>	
Native American Housing Block Grants	700	649	649	650	-	-	1.3	0.2%	1.3	
Native Hawaiian Housing Block Grants	13	12.97	-	13.00	(13.0)	-100.0%	0.0	0.2%	13.0	
SHOP/Capacity Building	82	81.8	49.4	17.0	(32.4)	-39.6%	(64.8)	-79.2%	(32.4)	
<i>SHOP</i>	27.0	26.9	15.9	17.0	(11.0)	-41.0%	(9.9)	-36.9%	1.1	
<i>Capacity Building</i>	55.0	54.9	33.5	-	(21.4)	-39.0%	(54.9)	-100.0%	(33.5)	
Brownfields Redevelopment	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>HOME Investment Partnership</b>	<b>1,825</b>	<b>1,607</b>	<b>1,200</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>(406.8)</b>	<b>-25.3%</b>	<b>(606.8)</b>	<b>-37.8%</b>	<b>(200.0)</b>	<i>See Note 5</i>
<b>Homeless Assistance Grants</b>	<b>1,865</b>	<b>1,901</b>	<b>1,901</b>	<b>1,901</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	
<b>Housing for the Elderly (202)</b>	<b>825</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>200.8</b>	<b>50.3%</b>	<b>(31.6)</b>	<b>-7.9%</b>	<b>(232.4)</b>	
<b>Housing for Persons w/Disabilities (811)</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>46.3</b>	<b>30.9%</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>(46.0)</b>	
HOPWA	335	334	334	330	-	-	(4.3)	-1.3%	(4.3)	
Fair Housing	72	72	72	64	0	0.1%	(7.6)	-10.5%	(7.6)	<i>See Note 6</i>
Energy Innovation Fund	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lead Paint Hazard Reduction/Healthy Homes	140	120	120	120	-	0.0%	0.2	0.2%	0.2	
<b>Staff/Administration</b>										
Policy Development & Research (PDR)	48	48	48	46	-	-	(2.1)	-4.4%	(2.1)	

Notes

1. Unobligated balances from old PB contracts (thru 1987) also avail to support FY2012 PB Contracts
2. Prohibits use of operating fund \$ (or any future HUD appropriations) for federalized state units  
Requires operating fund be allocated with consideration of excess PHA reserves or if sufficient data not available pro-rata
3. Cuts percentage of funds grantees can spend on administrative costs from 20% to 10%
4. Explicitly prohibits use of FY2012 appropriations for Sustainable Communities activities (including staff, interagency activities)
5. Maintains minimum grant threshold necessary to receive a formula allocation despite decline in appropriations.
6. Subcommittee draft proposed funding at \$50 million; amendment during mark-up added \$21.9 million.